

Physical Database Design and Tuning

Dr. Jeevani Goonetillake



© 2010, University of Colombo School of Computing



Objective

- Identify commonly asked queries, and typical update operations, and adjust the design to improve performance for the operations identified.

Database tuning – as user requirements evolve, we tune or adjust all aspects of a database design for better performance.

Overview

- After ER design, schema refinement, and the definition of views, we have the *logical* and *external* schemas for our database.
- The next step is to choose indexes and to refine the conceptual and external schemas (if necessary) to meet performance goals.
- We must begin by understanding the *workload*:
 - The most important queries and how often they arise.
 - The most important updates and how often they arise.
 - The desired performance for these queries and updates.

Understanding the Workload

- For each query in the workload:
 - Which relations does it access?
 - Which attributes are retrieved?
 - Which attributes are involved in selection/join conditions? How selective are these conditions likely to be?
- For each update in the workload:
 - Which attributes are involved in selection/join conditions? How selective are these conditions likely to be?
 - The type of update (INSERT/DELETE/UPDATE), and the attributes that are affected.

Decisions to Make

- What indexes should we create?
 - Which relations should have indexes?
 - What field(s) should be the search key?
 - Should we build several indexes?
- For each index, what kind of an index should it be?
 - Primary?
 - Clustered?
 - Hash/tree? Dynamic/static?
 - Dense/sparse?

Decisions to Make

- Should we make changes to the conceptual schema?
 - Consider alternative normalized schemas?
(Remember, there are many choices in decomposing into BCNF, etc.)
 - Should we ``undo'' some decomposition steps and settle for a lower normal form?
(Denormalization.)
 - Horizontal partitioning, replication, views ...

Choice of Indexes

- One approach: consider the most important queries. Consider the best plan using the current indexes, and see if a better plan is possible with an additional index. If so, create it.
- Before creating an index, must also consider the impact on updates in the workload!
 - Trade-off: indexes can make queries go faster, updates slower. Require disk space, too.

Issues to Consider in Index Selection

- Attributes mentioned in a WHERE clause are candidates for index search keys.
 - Exact match condition suggests hash index.
 - Range query suggests tree index.
 - Clustering is especially useful for range queries, although it can help on equality queries as well in the presence of duplicates.
- Try to choose indexes that benefit as many queries as possible.
- Since only one index can be clustered per relation, choose it based on important queries that would benefit the most from clustering.

Issues in Index Selection (Contd.)

- Multi-attribute search keys should be considered when a WHERE clause contains several conditions.
 - If range selections are involved, order of attributes should be carefully chosen to match the range ordering.
 - Such indexes can sometimes enable index-only strategies for important queries.

Index Only Plan

- An index-only plan is a query evaluation plan which requires to access only the indexes for the data records, and not the data records themselves, in order to answer the query.
- Index only plans are much faster than regular plans since it does not require reading of the data records.
- If a certain query is executed repeatedly which only require accessing one field (for example the average value of a field) it would be an advantage to create a search key on this field to use an index-only plan.

Index-Only Plans

- A number of queries can be answered without retrieving any tuples from one or more of the relations involved if a suitable index is available.

$\langle E.dno, E.eid \rangle$

Tree index!

```
SELECT D.mgr, E.eid  
FROM Dept D, Emp E  
WHERE D.dno=E.dno
```

$\langle E.dno \rangle$

```
SELECT E.dno, COUNT(*)  
FROM Emp E  
GROUP BY E.dno
```

$\langle E.dno, E.sal \rangle$

Tree index!

```
SELECT E.dno, MIN(E.sal)  
FROM Emp E  
GROUP BY E.dno
```

$\langle E.age, E.sal \rangle$

or

$\langle E.sal, E.age \rangle$

Tree!

```
SELECT AVG(E.sal)  
FROM Emp E  
WHERE E.age=25 AND  
E.sal BETWEEN 3000 AND 5000
```

Issues in Index Selection

- When considering a join condition:
 - Hash index on inner is very good for Index Nested Loops.
 - Should be clustered if join column is not key for inner, and inner tuples need to be retrieved.
 - *Clustered* B+ tree on join column(s) good for Sort-Merge.

Example1

```
SELECT E.ename, D.mgr  
FROM Emp E, Dept D  
WHERE D.dname='Toy' AND E.dno=D.dno
```

- Hash index on *D.dname* supports 'Toy' selection.
 - Given this, index on *D.dno* is not needed.
- Hash index on *E.dno* allows us to get matching (inner) Emp tuples for each selected (outer) Dept tuple.

Example1

- What if WHERE included: `` ... AND E.age=25'' ?
 - Could retrieve Emp tuples using index on *E.age*, then join with Dept tuples satisfying *dname* selection.
 - If *E.age* index is already created, this query provides much less motivation for adding an *E.dno* index.

Example2

```
SELECT E.ename, D.mgr  
FROM Emp E, Dept D  
WHERE E.sal BETWEEN 10000 AND 20000  
AND E.hobby='Stamps' AND E.dno=D.dno
```

- Clearly, Emp should be the outer relation.
 - Suggests that we build a hash index on *D.dno*.

Example2

- What index should we build on Emp?
 - B+ tree on *E.sal* could be used, OR an index on *E.hobby* could be used. Only one of these is needed, and which is better depends upon the selectivity of the conditions.
 - As a rule of thumb, equality selections more selective than range selections.
- As both examples indicate, our choice of indexes is guided by the plan(s) that we expect an optimizer to consider for a query.

Clustering and Joins

```
SELECT E.ename, D.mgr  
FROM Emp E, Dept D  
WHERE D.dname='Toy' AND E.dno=D.dno
```

- Clustering is especially important when accessing inner tuples in INL.
 - Should make index on *E.dno* clustered.
- Suppose that the WHERE clause is instead:
WHERE E.hobby='Stamps' AND E.dno=D.dno
 - If many employees collect stamps, Sort-Merge join may be worth considering.
- *Summary:* Clustering is useful whenever many tuples are to be retrieved.

Multi-Attribute Index Keys

- To retrieve Emp records with $age=30$ AND $sal=4000$, an index on $\langle age, sal \rangle$ would be better than an index on age or an index on sal .
 - Such indexes also called *composite* or *concatenated* indexes.
 - Choice of index key orthogonal to clustering etc.
- If condition is: $20 < age < 30$ AND $3000 < sal < 5000$:
 - Clustered tree index on $\langle age, sal \rangle$ or $\langle sal, age \rangle$ is best.
- If condition is: $age=30$ AND $3000 < sal < 5000$:
 - Clustered $\langle age, sal \rangle$ index much better than $\langle sal, age \rangle$ index.

Summary

- Database design consists of several tasks: *requirements analysis*, *conceptual design*, *schema refinement*, *physical design* and *tuning*.
 - In general, have to go back and forth between these tasks to refine a database design, and decisions in one task can influence the choices in another task.
- Understanding the nature of the *workload* for the application, and the performance goals, is essential to developing a good design.
 - What are the important queries and updates? What attributes/relations are involved?

Summary (Contd.)

- Indexes must be chosen to speed up important queries (and perhaps some updates!).
 - Index maintenance overhead on updates to key fields.
 - Choose indexes that can help many queries, if possible.
 - Build indexes to support index-only strategies.
 - Clustering is an important decision; only one index on a given relation can be clustered!
 - Order of fields in composite index key can be important.
- Static indexes may have to be periodically re-built.

Database Tuning

- The process of continuing to revise/adjust the physical database design by monitoring resource utilization as well as internal DBMS processing to reveal bottlenecks such as contention for the same data or devices.
- Goal:
 - To make application run faster
 - To lower the response time of queries/transactions
 - To improve the overall throughput of transactions

Tuning Indexes

- Reasons to tuning indexes
 - Certain queries may take too long to run for lack of an index;
 - Certain indexes may not get utilized at all;
 - Certain indexes may be causing excessive overhead because the index is on an attribute that undergoes frequent changes
- Options to tuning indexes
 - Drop or/and build new indexes
 - Change a non-clustered index to a clustered index (and vice versa)
 - Rebuilding the index

Tuning Queries

- In some situations involving using of correlated queries, temporaries are useful.
- The order of tables in the FROM clause may affect the join processing.
- Some query optimizers perform worse on nested queries compared to their equivalent un-nested counterparts.

Tuning Queries

- A query with multiple selection conditions that are connected via OR may not be prompting the query optimizer to use any index. Such a query may be split up and expressed as a union of queries, each with a condition on an attribute that causes an index to be used.
- Apply the following transformations NOT condition may be transformed into a positive expression.
- Embedded SELECT blocks may be replaced by joins. WHERE conditions may be rewritten to utilize the indexes on multiple columns.

Tuning the Conceptual Schema

- The choice of conceptual schema should be guided by the workload, in addition to redundancy issues:
 - We may settle for a 3NF schema rather than BCNF.
 - Workload may influence the choice we make in decomposing a relation into 3NF or BCNF.
 - We may further decompose a BCNF schema!
 - We might *denormalize* (i.e., undo a decomposition step), or we might add fields to a relation.
 - We might consider *horizontal decompositions*.
- If such changes are made after a database is in use, called *schema evolution*; might want to mask some of these changes from applications by defining *views*.

Summary of Database Tuning

- The conceptual schema should be refined by considering performance criteria and workload:
 - May choose 3NF or lower normal form over BCNF.
 - May choose among alternative decompositions into BCNF (or 3NF) based upon the workload.
 - May *denormalize*, or undo some decompositions.
 - May choose a *horizontal decomposition* of a relation.